<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Opportunity:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applicant:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Title:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Requested:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOR COG VERIFICATION**

Did the applicant attend a COG grant workshop?  
If “No”, application is ineligible.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*If applicant is part of a Special Response Team or has First Responder Capabilities:*

1) if applicable, is team FEMA Typed?  
2) if SWAT, is team typed according to NTOA standards?  
3) has applicant provided the COG a copy of a formal-type cooperation?  
(for example: MOU; SOPs; contract; plan(s); written agreement)  
If “No”, application is ineligible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**STATE AND FEDERAL PRIORITIES**

How well does the project address target capabilities related to prevention, preparing for, protecting against, or responding to acts of terrorism as indicated on the RFA (Request for Applications) issued by the Office of the Governor- Homeland Security Grants Division?  
*LETPA projects must have a clear link to one or more of the following Core Capabilities: Planning; Public Information & Warning; Operational Coordination; Intelligence & Information Sharing; Interdiction & Disruption; Screening, Search & Detection; and Forensics & Attribution*

Scale: 0-25

**REGIONAL PRIORITIES**

How well does the project address one of the COG’s Activity Areas?  
*(Interoperable Emergency Communications, Sustaining Special Response Teams & First Responder Capabilities, Critical infrastructure, Cybersecurity)*

Scale: 0-15

How well does the project address a national priority areas?  
*(Addressing Emerging Threats; Enhancing Cybersecurity; Protection of Soft Targets/Crowded Places; Intelligence and Information Sharing)*

Scale: 0-15
How well does the project address gaps identified in the LRGVDC’s THIRA (Threats & Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) and SPR (Stakeholder Preparedness Review)?

Scale: 0-15

How well does the project impact the region? (i.e. a positive impact for developing or sustaining capabilities that benefit neighboring jurisdiction(s), state, or nation)

Scale: 0-15

**PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS**

Is the project S.M.A.R. T.? (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, Timely)

Scale: 0-15

**TOTAL SCORE**
Max 100 Pts. Allowed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is the amount requested for this project scalable?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>or</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Funding recommendation: | FULL | PARTIAL | NONE |

If partial recommendation, what amount?

Comments:

I, as a member of the LRGVDC’s HSAC, hereby agree that I have scored this application in honesty and fairness, without duress or influence from external parties. All comments and scores are my own. I understand the scoring method and funding process of this committee and agree to the requirements established by the Office of the Governor’s Homeland Security Grants Division.

Printed Name | Signature | Date

☐ If exempt from voting due to conflict of interest, check this box.