

Table of Contents - Figures

Figure 1.2.1: 1990 Urbanized Area Boundary in the 1994 MPO Study Boundary.....	12A
Figure 1.2.2: Urbanized Area Boundary in the Metropolitan Area Boundary	12B
Figure 2.1c.5: TMMP Level of Service.....	32A
Figure 2.2b.1: International Borders in the Lower Rio Grande Valley.....	40
Figure 2.3.1: Population Growths of Donna & McAllen.....	48
Figure 2.3.2: Annual Growth Rates: US vs. TX vs. Hidalgo County.....	48
Figure 2.3.3: Urbanized and Rural areas of Hidalgo County.....	49
Figure 2.3.4: Unincorporated urbanized areas along with city limits of Hidalgo County ...	52
Figure 2.3.5: 1999 Employment per Square Mile.....	52A
Figure 2.3.6: 2030 Employment per Square Mile.....	52B
Figure 2.3.7: Changes in Key Demographic Indicators between 2000 & 2030.....	53
Figure 2.3.8: 1999 Traffic Analysis Zones Census Geography	54A
Figure 2.3.9: Median Income Distribution.....	54B
Figure 2.3.10: Distribution of Employment by Economic Sector in 2000 and 2030 Respectively.....	55
Figure 2.4.1: Truck Traffic in Hidalgo, Pharr, and Progreso International Bridges	57
Figure 2.4.2: International Border Crossings.....	58A
Figure 2.4.3: Maquiladora Locations.....	58B
Figure 2.4.4: Air Monitor Locations in the Cities of Edinburg and Mission.....	60A
Figure 2.5.1: Environmentally Sensitive Areas and State Park.....	66A
Figure 2.5.2: Historic Sites in Hidalgo County.....	70A
Figure 2.5.3: Environmentally Sensitive Areas	70B
Figure 2.7.1: No Build Level of Service.....	78A
Figure 2.7.2: 2030 Level of Service.....	78B
Figure 2.8.1: MTP Project selection.....	80A
Figure 3.2.1: 1999 Population Per Square Mile.....	87A
Figure 3.2.2: 2030 Population Per Square Mile.....	87B
Figure 3.3.1: FY 2004 – 2006 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).....	91A
Figure 3.3.2: Scores distribution for project selection based on LOS.....	93
Figure 3.4b.1: Thoroughfare Plan.....	95A
Figure 4.2c.1: Access vs. Mobility.....	106
Figure 4.2c.2: Functional Classification.....	108A
Figure 4.3d.1: Transit Routes.....	132A
Figure 4.3d.2: Existing Ridership.....	134
Figure 4.3e.1: Projected Population and Commuter Travel to 2030.....	138
Figure 4.3e.2: McAllen Express Monthly Transit Ridership.....	140
Figure 4.3e.3: Rio Metro Monthly Transit Ridership.....	141
Figure 4.3e.4: Rio Transit Average Monthly Ridership, 2000 and 2002.....	142
Figure 4.3f.1: Transit Market Share Overtime.....	144
Figure 4.3f.2: Future Fixed-Route Need.....	146
Figure 4.3f.3: Future Demand-Response Need.....	147
Figure 4.3f.4: Incremental Growth Concept.....	151
Figure 4.3f.5: Market Segmentation Concept.....	152

Table of Contents - Figures

Figure 4.3f.6: String of Pearls Concept.....	153
Figure 4.3L.1: Elderly Distribution Within Transit Routes.....	182A
Figure 4.3m.1 Implement Market Segmentation Concept – through year 8.....	184
Figure 4.3m.2 Implement String of Pearls Concept – year 9 through year 20.....	185
Figure 4.4.1: Existing and Proposed Bicycle Lanes, Trails and Sidewalks.....	198A
Figure 4.5a.1: Border Cities Between Texas and Mexico.....	220
Figure 4.5a.2: Operating and Proposed International Bridges at the Border Crossing in the Hidalgo County.....	221
Figure 4.5b.1: International Bridges, Transit Routes & Shopping Areas.....	222A
Figure 4.5b.2: Los Ebanos International Ferry.....	222
Figure 4.5b.3: Crossing Statistics for Los Ebanos Ferry.....	222
Figure 4.5b.4: Toll booth near the Hidalgo-Reynosa International Bridge.....	223
Figure 4.5b.5: Rio Grande River Separating the Two Cities: Left Reynosa & Right McAllen.....	223
Figure 4.5b.6: Crossing Statistics for Hidalgo – McAllen International Bridge.....	224
Figure 4.5b.7: Crossing Statistics for Pharr International Bridge.....	225
Figure 4.5b.8: Crossing Statistics for Progreso International Bridge.....	223
Figure 4.5c.1: Northbound Crossing Statistics for years 2000-2004.....	228
Figure 4.5c.2: Southbound Crossing statistics for years 1999-2004.....	229
Figure 4.5e.1: Proposed I-69 from Mexico to Canada.....	232
Figure 4.5e.2: I-69 Corridor Stretch in Texas.....	234
Figure 4.5e.3: Trans Texas Corridor Conceptual Map with Priority Corridors and Proposed Trans Texas Corridor.....	235
Figure 4.5e.4: Sections of Independent Utilities in South Texas.....	236
Figure 4.6f.1 : Spring 2004 CMS Routes.....	254
Figure 4.6.2: Breakdown of Spring 2001 Improvement Recommendations.....	260
Figure 4.6.3: Breakdown of Fall 2002 Improvement Recommendations.....	260
Figure 4.6.4: Breakdown of Summer 2003 Improvement Recommendations.....	261
Figure 4.6.5: Breakdown of Spring 2004 Improvement Recommendations.....	261
Figure 4.7a.1: International Bridges.....	265
Figure 4.7a.2: Top U.S. Gateways for International Freight – Exports Imports in Tons.....	266
Figure 4.7a.3 Freight Movements Entering Hidalgo County.....	268
Figure 4.7a.4 Truck Traffic in Hidalgo County International Bridges.....	269
Figure 4.7b.1: Texas Gateways – International Truck Flows for Border Crossings (1998)...	270
Figure 4.7b.2: U.S. – Mexican Truck Traffic – 1998.....	270
Figure 4.7b.3 Designated Truck Routes	274A
Figure 4.7c.1: Texas – Total Domestic Truck Flows (1998).....	275
Figure 4.7c.2: Proposed Non-Destination Non-Radioactive Hazardous Materials Route	274B
Figure 4.7d.1: Hidalgo County MPO – Airports.....	277
Figure 4.7e.1 Illustrating All Commodities and Rail Shipments into LRGVDC.....	279
Figure 4.7.12: Railway Freight in the HCMPO.....	280
Figure 6.6.1 : Hidalgo County 2005-2030 Metropolitan Transportation Plan.....	301A